

# Rewilding – definition, continuum, ecological concepts and application

# Mark Fisher and Steve Carver Wildland Research Institute Hardwick Hall Hotel, 23 March 2018





# **Our route map to rewilding**

- Definitions and usage
- A rewilding continuum
- Food chains, webs and pyramids
- Trophic cascades
- UK examples
- Back to the continuum
- Conclusions

# **Potential Natural Vegetation**

*After*: Ramankutty, N. and J. A. Foley. 1999. Estimating historical changes in global land cover: Croplands from 1700 to 1992. Global Biogeochemical Cycles **13**:997-1027.



### Anthromes 2





### Anthromes 2





### Anthromes 2





### Anthromes 2





### An abundance of definitions simplified

#### HOUSES OF PARLIAMENT Parliamentary Office of Science & Technology

#### POSTNOTE

Number 537 September 2016

#### **Rewilding and Ecosystem Services**



This POSTnote explores the consequences of increasing the role of natural processes within landscapes. Evidence from the UK and abroad suggests that rewilding can benefit both wildlife and local people, but animal reintroductions could adversely affect some land-users.

#### What is Rewilding?

There is no single definition of rewilding, but it generally refers to reinstating natural processes that would have occurred in the absence of human activity.1,2 These include vegetation succession, where grasslands develop into wetlands or forests, and ecological disturbances caused by disease, flooding, fire and wild herbivores (plant eaters). Initially, natural processes may be restored through human interventions such as tree planting, drainage blocking and reintroducing "keystone species"3,4 like beavers. In the long term, self-regulating natural processes may reduce the need for human management. Rewilding can have unpredictable outcomes, but it may also represent a cost-effective way to provide ecosystem services (benefits provided by natural processes)<sup>5</sup> such as flood prevention.<sup>6</sup> Rewilding might help to reduce or offset negative impacts of intensive agriculture including: soil degradation [POSTnote 502]; greenhousegas emissions [POSTnotes 453 & 486]; water pollution [POSTnote 478]; insect pollinator declines [POSTnote 442] and a reduction in biodiversity (the variety of living things).7

This briefing outlines approaches to rewilding land across Europe, as well as the potential benefits and risks involved. Rewilding has not been referred to by the UK government, so it is put into the context of relevant policy on agriculture and biodiversity. While some advocate rewilding of the seas using no-fishing comes,<sup>4</sup> this is not discussed here.

#### Overview

Rewilding aims to restore natural processes that are self-regulating, reducing the need for human management of land.

- Few rewilding projects are underway, and there is limited evidence on their impacts. Rewilding may provide ecosystem services such as flood prevention, carbon storage and recreation. It often has low input costs, but can still benefit biodiversity.
- Some valued and protected priority habitats such as chalk grassland currently depend on agricultural practices like grazing.
   Rewilding may not result in such habitats.
   No government policy refers explicitly to rewilding, but it has the potential to

complement existing approaches to meet commitments on habitat restoration.

Rewilding and Current Conservation Practice UK landscapes have been managed to produce food and wood for millennia, and 70% of land is currently farmed.<sup>9</sup> 63bn per year is spent on environmental management of farmland across the EU.<sup>30,11</sup> This includes maintaining wildlife habitats on farmland such as heathland and chalk grassland, which involves traditional agricultural practices such as fire and grazing.<sup>12,13</sup> Rewilding involves ecological restoration (the repair of degraded ecosystems),<sup>14</sup> and differs from mainstream conservation in two main ways:

- Existing policies promote the conservation of specific endangered species and habitats. Rewilding focuses on restoring natural processes and dynamics, and the groups of species that emerge from this.<sup>15</sup>
- Existing practices use active management to increase biodiversity in nature reserves. This may involve lowintensity livestock grazing, but rewiding generally has a long term goal of reduced management by humans.<sup>16</sup>

#### Conflicting Views on Rewilding

Interest in rewiding has increased rapidly in recent years.<sup>16</sup> Some see rewiding as a positive vision for restoring ecosystems.<sup>17</sup> but others feel that it is poorly defined and may result in people being excluded from natural spaces.<sup>18</sup> Rewiding is generally seen as an open-ended approach, but there has been a considerable amount of debate about the type of ecosystem that it should aim to restore (Box 1).

#### What is Rewilding?

There is no single definition of rewilding, but it generally refers to reinstating natural processes that would have occurred in the absence of human activity.<sup>1,2</sup> These include vegetation succession, where grasslands develop into wetlands or forests, and ecological disturbances caused by disease, flooding, fire and wild herbivores (plant eaters). Initially, natural processes may be restored through human interventions such as tree planting, drainage blocking and reintroducing "keystone species"<sup>3,4</sup> like beavers. In the long term, self-regulating natural processes may reduce the need for human management. Rewilding can have unpredictable outcomes, but it may also represent a cost-effective way to provide ecosystem services (benefits provided by natural processes)<sup>5</sup> such as flood prevention.<sup>6</sup> Rewilding might help to reduce or offset negative impacts of intensive agriculture including: soil degradation [POSTnote 502]; greenhousegas emissions [POSTnotes 453 & 486]; water pollution [POSTnote 478]; insect pollinator declines [POSTnote 442] and a reduction in biodiversity (the variety of living things).7

Increasing anthropogenic modification

CONTINUUM OF HUMAN MODIFICATION

Increasing ecological integrity/quality







### The Pandora's box of rewilding



Rewilding

"restoring big wilderness based on the regulatory roles of large predators" (Cores, Corridors and Carnivores)

1998 Soule and Noss

#### Pleistocene rewilding



"aims to restore some of the evolutionary and ecological potential that was lost 13,000 years ago" (introducing relatives or functional equivalents of extinct taxa)

> 2005 Donlan *et al.*

#### Passive rewilding



"passive management of ecological succession with the goal of restoring natural ecosystem processes and reducing human control of landscapes"

#### "non-intervention"

2011 Gillson *et al.* 

# Active rewilding



"seeks to restore missing or dysfunctional ecological processes and ecosystem function via a process of species reintroduction"

#### "managed rewilding"

2014 Seddon *et al.* 

Nogués-Bravo, D., Simberloff, D., Rahbek, C., & Sanders, N. J. (2016). Rewilding is the new Pandora's box in conservation. Current Biology, 26(3), R87-R91.

# Big things eat little things, little things eat grass – and everything DECOMPOSES

Charles Elton (1927) Animal Ecology. Macmillan



#### Charles Elton (1927) Animal Ecology. Macmillan



### **Aquatic Food Web**



# All food webs have PREDATORS



#### ANIMAL ECOLOGY

CHARLES ELTON

WITH AN INTROCCION BY JULIAN S, HOXLEY, M.A.

NEW YORK THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

# Elton's pyramid of numbers - 1927

#### The Pyramid of Numbers

21. "One hill cannot shelter two tigers." In other and less interesting words, many carnivorous animals, especially at or near the end of a food-chain, have some system of territories, whereby it is arranged that each individual, or pair, or family, has an area of country sufficiently large to supply its food requirements. Hawks divide up the country in this way,

"Pyramid of Numbers in a community, by which is meant the **greater abundance** of animals at the **base of food-chains**, and the comparative **scarcity** of animals at the **end of such chains**"

### **A TROPHIC PYRAMID**

a food chain organised by trophic levels
 the base of the food chain becomes
 overgrazed and degraded in the absence
 of predators



# "Green World Hypothesis" 1960

Vol. XCIV, No. 879 The American Naturalist November-December, 1960

## COMMUNITY STRUCTURE, POPULATION CONTROL, AND COMPETITION

NELSON G. HAIRSTON, FREDERICK E. SMITH, AND LAWRENCE B. SLOBODKIN

Department of Zoology, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

# PHOTOSYNTHESIS = GREEN world uncontrolled herbivore pressure BROWN world

herbivores would normally expand to the point of depletion of the vegetation, as they do in the absence of their normal predators and parasites.

### Ecological Meltdown in Predator-Free Forest Fragments

John Terborgh,<sup>1\*</sup> Lawrence Lopez,<sup>2</sup> Percy Nuñez V.,<sup>3</sup> Madhu Rao,<sup>4,5</sup> Ghazala Shahabuddin,<sup>6</sup> Gabriela Orihuela,<sup>7</sup> Mailen Riveros,<sup>8</sup> Rafael Ascanio,<sup>9</sup> Greg H. Adler,<sup>11</sup> Thomas D. Lambert,<sup>10</sup> Luis Balbas<sup>12</sup>

SCIENCE VOL 294 30 NOVEMBER 2001



ntact vegetation in unaltered area



Predator-limited herbivore carrying capacity - evidence of a TROPHIC CASCADE

### Food-limited herbivore carrying capacity - the ECOLOGICAL MELTDOWN

Almost no plants left where herbivores overpopulated

#### Vegetation dynamics of predator-free land-bridge islands

JOHN TERBORGH.	<b>KENNETH FEELEY*, MILES</b>	SILMAN <sup>†</sup> , PERCY
NUNEZ <sup>‡</sup> and BRAD	LEY BALUKJIAN*	Journal of

Ecology 2006 94, 253-263

I We tested the 'green world' hypothesis of Hairston, Smith and Slobodkin by monitoring vegetation change on recently created predator-free land-bridge islands in a huge hydroelectrie impoundment, Lago Guri, in the State of Bolivar, Venezuela.

Summary

Our results affirm the green world hypothesis and expose the operation of a strong top-down trophic cascade that negatively impacted nearly every plant species present, implying that community stability is maintained through the action of predators.

Lago Guri, Venezuela, flooded by hydroelectric dam, creating **predator free islands** 

- predators **present** (top)

- jaguar, cougar, and harpy eagles **absent** (bottom)

### What is a TROPHIC CASCADE?



A **trophic cascade** occurs when the animals at the top of the food chain - the **apex predators** modify the numbers not just of their prey, but also of species with which they have **no direct connection**. Their impacts **cascade down the food chain** 



Deer avoid the dens in two wolf pack territories

Wolves drive woodland in a landscape of fear

### La Primavera Biosphere Reserve, Mexico

### The trophic ecology of La Primavera shown as a TROPHIC PYRAMID

### La Primavera, una pirámide trófica



TIER 8. Super predators. Puma at the summit of La Primvera, along with coyote, PISO 8 lynx and jagarundi TIER 7. Predators (red-tailed hawk, sharp-shinned hawk kestrel, fox, PISO 7 long-tailed weasel, Ring-tailed cat, opossum, vampire bat) and scavengers (raven, vultures, rock squirrel and some mammals) TIER 6. Herbivores (white-tailed deer, peccaries, armadillo) and PISO 6 predators of small animals (snakes, skunks, ring-tailed coati, racoon, true owls, barn owl, great horned owl) TIER 5. Reptiles and birds devouring insects (northern flicker, PISO 5 Strickland's-, ladder-backed-, golden-fronted-, Gilawoodpecker) insectivorous bat (vesper bat) TIER 4. Carnivorous insects and small birds, mammals PISO 4 (Mexican jay, Black-throated magpie, ground squirrel, long-tailed shrew,) amphibians TIER 3. Primary consumers: butterflies & pollinators, PISO 3 leaf-eating insects (acorn woodpecker, long-tongued bat, fruit bat, cottontail rabbit, gray squirrel, gopher, vole) PISO 2 TIER 2. Plants with flowers. Herbs and trees TIER 1. Bacteria. Microorganisms, fungi and PISO 1 mycorrhizae

# What's missing "in a country where the fauna is practically limited to birds, insects and the smaller mammals"? (Addison Committee, 1931)



### **BWLCH COROG- Cambrian Wildwood habitat restoration**





Many upland areas are **LANDSCAPE TRAPS** where entire landscapes are shifted into, and then **trapped in a highly degraded structural and functional state** resulting from **anthropogenic disturbances** 

# Woodland Trust own 140ha of moorland dominated by purple moor grass

- held on a 125-year lease by the Wales Wild Land Foundation

- Cambrian Wildwood project intends to **restore the native forest** and other natural habitats
- reintroduce some missing native species
- not been grazed for over six years



### **SOUTH HOUSE MOOR - ecological restoration under similar biophysical conditions**

#### INGLEBOROUGH NNR THE REWILDING OF SOUTH HOUSE MOOR PROJECT BRIEF

### MAJOR VEGETATION COMMUNITIES AND DESIRED UNGRAZED ALTERNATIVES

Community	NVC Type	Approx	Ungrazed NVC
		Area (ha)	Туре
Hill Top Blanket Bog	M19a	5	M19
Hill top calcareous	CG1Oa	10	? (W9a at lower
grassland			altitude)
Heath on scree/steep	H18c	10	W19/W17
slopes			
Richer acidic grassland	U4b	15	W11?
(lower slopes)			
Acidic grassland	U5A	40	W17 + W19/23
(drier slopes)			
Modified blanket bog/	M20/U2b	65	M19 + W4
wet acidic grassland			
Acidic flushes	M6a,c,d	20	W4 + W7, M25
Marshy grassland	M23b	10	W1
(below flushes)			
	Total Area	174ha	

#### - sheep grazing ceased 1999

#### - 10,000 native trees and shrubs planted

1999-2002 in copses & along gill sides (~5%)

- juniper & willow scrub on scree slopes
- supplemental tree planting 2012 (~ 1%)
- aim to establish NVCs shown in table







grass and moss hummocks drilled with runs and tunnels of small mammals

vegetation height > doubled, dramatic comeback of dwarf shrubs, bog asphodel spreading
BTO 5y survey showed 37 species of birds many never seen on grazed areas, including willow warbler, redpoll, black cap

 - 6mo survey showed 45 times more small mammals, matched capture had 56 field voles and 34 common shrews in ungrazed area - one of each on grazed area
 - raptor pellets found only on ungrazed area, frequent sightings of short-eared owls

TROPHIC CASCADES - between grasses, slugs, worms, field voles, common shrews & short-eared owls

### **CARRIFRAN - ecological restoration under similar biophysical conditions**

**Borders Forest Trust** bought 665ha Carrifran Burn watershed in 2000 - altitude range **165-820m** 

phased sheep removal
2000-2004
>500,000 trees and shrubs

planted, based on ESC (50%)



- heather regenerating down hillside below ~ 450m

- suckering of surviving trees and rapid growth of suppressed upland dwarf shrubs and tree seedlings (mainly rowan)

- natural regeneration in several areas
- leaf litter and woody debris beginning to accumulate

- thicker **vegetation mat** over valley brings increase in **field voles**, prey for many predators – **TROPHIC CASCADE** 

foxes and badgers now common plus otters, stoats and weasels
 greater than expected voluntary return of nearly 50 avian
 species with more to come, including kestrel, buzzard, peregrine
 falcon, raven, short-eared, long-eared and barn owls

#### CARFFRANCE ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION OF ANY SCOTTEMPORTMY VIEW TO LEASE Carrifran: Ecological Restoration in the Southern Uplands

New native woodland and vegetation succession in the Moffat Hills

Stuart Adair

Habitat ecologist and member of Carrifran Wildwood Project of Borders Forest Trust, Old Town, Peebles, EH45 8JE stadair@tiscali.co.uk

#### Colonisation by woodland birds at Carrifran Wildwood: the story so far

C.J. SAVORY 36:2 (2016)

Scottish Birds: 135-149

Conservation Letters
A Journal of the Society for Conservation Biology
LETTER
Predicting and Assessing Progress in the Restoration

Predicting and Assessing Progress in the Restoration of Ecosystems

A.R.E. Sinclair<sup>1</sup>, Roger P. Pech<sup>2</sup>, John M. Fryxell<sup>3</sup>, Kevin McCann<sup>3</sup>, Andrea E. Byrom<sup>2</sup>, C. John Savor<sup>4</sup>, Justin Brashares<sup>3</sup>, Anthony D. Arthur<sup>6</sup>, Peter C. Catling<sup>7</sup>, Maggie D. Triska<sup>8</sup>, Michael D. Craig<sup>9,10</sup>, Tim J.E. Sinclair<sup>11</sup>, Jennie R. McLaren<sup>12</sup>, Roy Turkington<sup>11,13</sup>, Rene L. Beyres<sup>3</sup>, & William L. Harrower<sup>1,12,14</sup>

**accelerated restoration index** for the annual counts of **all bird species** in the Carrifran woodland over the first ten years



The accelerated restoration index for the annual counts of all bird species in the Carrifran woodland over the first ten years (solid line). Accelerated arrival produces a convex curve compared to the expected concave curve (broken line) for a constant arrival per unit time of the same number of species (Savory 2016).

### The art of the achievable at Bwlch Corrog: "birds, insects and the smaller mammals"

**1km radius** (SN734957)

NBN atlas

2km radius (SN734957)



Use of **NBN atlas** data to build a picture of species on site & could migrate in to Bwlch Corog **during** habitat restoration -

walk, fly, blown, drop (from birds)!



**On site:** lichens x 3, weevils x 4, moths x 9 buzzard, sparrowhawk, carrion crow, jay, raven fieldfare, blackbird, meadow pipit, skylark, tree pipit, siskin, dunnock, wren, great tit, coal tit, blue tit, whinchat, goldcrest, woodpigeon, chaffinch, chiffchaff, robin, tree pipit, crossbill, redwing, mistle thrush, song thrush, willow warbler, grasshopper warbler, cuckoo **Just off-site:** moorland grasses, vascular plants (cranberry, bog ashphodel, sundew, crowberry, cotton grass, rowan, willow, heather) ferns and many liverwort and moss species of the moor rowan willow heather

#### **NO MAMMALS**



**Mammals:** common shrew, water vole, field vole, brown hare, otter, rabbit, mole, red and grey squirrel, Brandt's bat, weasel, badger, fox, fallow deer

**Birds:** carrion crow, raven, grey heron, sparrowhawk, kestrel, jay, jackdaw, rook, magpie, woodpecker x2 and tawny owl, as well as many other insectivores and herbivores

Trees & shrubs: field maple, alder, willows, small-leaved lime, beech, wych elm, rowan, wild service tree, holly, yew, elder, guelder rose, gorse, dog rose, bramble, raspberry Bryophytes: many mosses and lichens Moorland and woodland vegetation species

# **Seeding woodland restoration at Bwlch Corrog**

Assessment for LANDSCAPE TRAPS has to be made on whether ecological restoration is limited by the absence locally of potential in-migrating species. The project will begin with planting around 8,000 native trees to provide a seed source for future colonisation of woodland across the site



Choice of woodland species indicated at coarse and fine scale:

### **NATURAL VEGETATION MAPPING OF EUROPE (2003)**

Bwlch Corrog lies in the band of **oak forest** (F2 - Quercus robur, Q. petraea, mostly with Betula pubescens, Ilex aquifolium, Blechnum spicant) between the raised bog areas (S8) of Foel Goch and Foel fras



### ECOLOGICAL SITE CLASSIFICATION (ESC)

Developed by Forestry Commission, a decision support system that predicts **woodland communities** of the **National Vegetation Classification** (NVC) system based on:

- climate: elevation, windiness and temperature
- soil moisture
- soil nutrient

### Assessing the potential trajectory and progress of restoration at Bwlch Corrog

Potential OUTCOMES can be predicted from the community of species and their interactions that can be restored, based on initial habitat seeding in upland LANDSCAPE TRAPS linked to voluntary in-migration of species



# **Characteristics of rewilding**

These characteristics move us past the **ecologically illiterate** "process-led" approach that **holds rewilding back** i.e. Oostvaardersplassen

An ecological approach to rewilding based on outcome:

- restores trophic occupancy, structure and cascades in degraded ecosystems
- focuses on **communities of species and their interaction** rather than just species composition

- switches human involvement from management intervention to being a **facilitator of autogenic recovery** 

- based on an ecologically feasible trajectory and outcome
- trajectory and progress of autogenic recovery are monitored

- identifies **barriers to restoration** arising through **failure to locally recruit species** (i.e. tree and shrub species) or **trophic imbalance** (herbivore unmatched by its predator)

- restores **non-human autonomy** where humans are observers of natural processes and wild nature

- future oriented

- reimagines the identities of humans in relation with non-humans

### **Restoring avian TROPHIC OCCUPANCY in the PEAK DISTRICT**

Alex Lees, lecturer in biodiversity at Manchester Metropolitan University, lives in the Peak District

- produced this graphic as his avian rewilding manifesto for the Peak District
- counters the common misconception that rewilding results in a loss of biodiversity
- rewilding results in a different diversity losses and GAINS













© Crown Copyright and Database Right 2016. Ordnance Survey (Digimap Licence)



















#### Legend







# The six rules of re(al)wilding

- 1. Don't confuse biodiversity and culturally mediated landscapes with wildness and naturalness
- 2. Nature can exist and thrive without our constant intervention
- 3. Natural succession should be the Favourable Conservation Status for rewilding projects
- 4. Work towards a continuum of approaches
- 5. Work towards a continuum of landscapes
- 6. Think big and think bold

*"It isn't fear that drives us to extinguish fearsome beasts, but once they are gone, it's fear that keeps us from bringing them back"* 

J.B.MacKinnon (2014) The Once and Future World p.255